

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/701,013

Applicant(s)

TERADA ET AL.

Examiner

Shin-Lin Chen

Art Unit

1632

All Participants:

(1) Shin-Lin Chen.

(2) Mark Nuell.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 April 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner proposed changing the term "CLAIMS" on page 53 of the specification to "What is claimed is", putting the abstract on page 57 into one paragraph, and changing the term "and/or" to "...or...or both".

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)